MAY 1 8 2807

jin 1763

[10191/4133]

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Gerhard LAMWEL et al.

Serial No.

10/529,425

Filing Date

September 16, 2005

For

METHOD AND MICROMECHANICAL COMPONENT

Group Art Unit

Confirmation No.

1763

Examiner

e A. GOLD READ respondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail

man envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patople, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Signature

on

(33,865)

TRANSMITTAL

SIR:

Please find transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified patent application is a Response and a Statement Of Substance Of Interview.

While no fees are believed to be due, the Commissioner is authorized, as appropriate and/or necessary, to charge any fees (including any Rule 136 extension fees) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-0600. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON L

Reg. No. 35,952

One Broadway

New York, NY 10004

(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO.: 26646

[10191/4133]

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAY 1 8 2007

Applicant(s)

Gerhard LAMMEL et al.

Serial No.

10/529,425

Filing Date

September 16, 2005

For

METHOD AND MICROMECHANICAL COMPONENT

Group Art Unit

1763

Examiner

George A. GOUDREAU

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail

Confirmation No.

in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22113-1450

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date:____

Signature:

AREN E: DEDITCH

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAN INTERVIEW

SIR:

Applicants submit herewith a statement of the substance of the telephone interview conducted on April 27, 2007 between Examiner Goudreau and Applicants' representative, Campbell C. Chiang (Reg. No. 59,518).

The Examiner stated that Applicants need not substantively respond as to the rejections of the Office Action of April 5, 2007, since the Examiner considered the wrong claims.

In the telephone interview, the Applicants agreed to provide a courtesy listing of claims 10 to 18 (previously submitted with the preliminary amendment of March 6, 2006) in the Response to the Office Action of April 5, 2007. The Response is being filed concurrently with this Statement.

During the course of the telephone interview, no exhibit was shown and no demonstration was conducted.

During the course of the telephone interview, no specific claims were discussed.

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/529,425 Attorney Docket No. 10191/4133 Reply to Office Action of April 5, 2007

During the course of the telephone interview, no "specific prior art" was discussed.

During the course of the telephone interview, no principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature were discussed.

During the course of the telephone interview, the Examiner explained that a computer error resulted in the Office Action of April 5, 2007, in which the Examiner addressed cancelled claims 1 to 9 instead of pending claims 10 to 18.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Gerard A. Messina

(Reg. No. 35,952)

One Broadway New York, NY 10004

(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

1347984